REEXAMINING FINANCE-GROWTH NEXUS: A NEW LITERATURE SURVEY

Ebru TOPCU

Nevsehir Haci Bektas Veli University, Department of Economics ebruerdogan@nevsehir.edu.tr

Abstract

The nexus between financial development and economic growth is a well-documented literature and it has continued to expand since the pioneer studies in the early 1990s. From this view point, the goal of this paper is to survey finance-growth literature. The results obtained from causality analyses are not uniformed across different countries or country groups, different measures of financial development, and different empirical methodologies. On the other hand, however, there is also evidence that results are not that much volatile once regression based empirical approaches are employed.

Keywords: financial development, economic growth, literature survey.

JEL Codes: *E44*; *O40*.

I. INTRODUCTION

The literature on finance-growth nexus dates back at least to Schumpeter (1911) who argues the positive role of financial development on economic growth. Along with Schumpeter (1911), there have been some pioneer and promising studies in the literature until 1980s as well (see, for axample: Patrick, 1966; Goldsmith, 1969; McKinnon, 1973; Shaw, 1973). From that day on, a large amount of literature has examined the impact of financial development on economic growth using various empirical methods with focussing cross-country, time series, panel data, and firm-level studies (King and Levine, 1993a, 1993b; Levine, 1997, 2003; Rajan and Zingales, 1998; Levine et al., 2000; Beck and Levine, 2004; Beck et al., 2000, 2005; as among others). Bulk of these studies has found a positive impact of financial development on economic growth. However, global crisis in 2008 has lead researchers and policymakers to reconsider recent conclusions suggested by the literature (Law and Singh, 2014). Overall, empirical results provided by these studies have been found to be conflicting.

The channels how financial development affects economic growth could be explained via five lines (Uyanga and Suruga, 2008). *Efficiently allocated savings* can reduce the cost of financial resources for industry and firm and increase the funds available for investment. *Managing* and *reducing risk* can diminish the uncertainty of investment projects. *Monitoring firms* and *exerting corporate governance* can induce managers to maximize firm's value and improve allocation of resources. These functions support the effectiveness of corporate governance that has impact on firm performance with potentially substantial consequences on national economic growth rates. Taken as a whole, existing literature suggests that countries with better functioning financial systems facilitate the external financing constraints that promote firm and industrial expansion, suggesting that this is one mechanism through which financial development matters for economic growth. At this point it is particularly relevant when assessing the effects of financial market development in an economy with different aspects in detail. In cross-country studies, it is hard to determine the idiosyncratic obstacles for their financial market development-growth nexus.

Previous papers provide an excellent overview of large body empirical papers (Levine, 2003; Kakilli-Acaravci et al., 2009). However, we believe that a rapidly-developing literature needs a fresh and proper survey. The purpose of this paper is therefore to resurvey the literature of finance-growth nexus from a different viewpoint. Following introductory part, we review related literature in the next section and then conclude in the last section.

II. THE CAUSALITY LITERATURE SURVEY ON FINANCE-GROWTH NEXUS

Causal relationships between these two variables have been categorized into four lines (Kakilli-Acaravci et al., 2009). First, *supply-leading hypothesis* establishes causality from financial development to economic growth. This view figures a strong causality that finance positively influences the real economy through increasing in saving rates and investment or the efficiency improvements in the capital accumulation. Thus, this progress can contribute to technological innovation and lead to economic growth Second, *demand-following hypothesis* establishes the causality from economic growth to financial development. In line with this view Robinson (1952) states "finance follows where enterprise leads". This implies that financial development does not cause growth; financial development responds to changing demands from the real economy. The growth of economy induces increased demand for financial services and which in turn generates the creation of

financial intermediaries Third, *bi-directional causality hypothesis* contains supply-leading and demand-following views mutually. This mutual interaction may be detected in the same period, indicating that financial development encourages real growth, while the developing economy's demand for finance is met by the developing financial sector. Moreover the direction of causality whether financial development is supply-leading or demand-following may alter according to an economy's general development stage (Patrick, 1966; Thornton, 1996). Fourth, *irrelevance hypothesis* postulates an independent or non-causal relationship in line with neoclassical theory that assumes zero transaction costs and perfect information (Blum et al., 2002). According to this view, financial development induces a minor contribution to economic growth or the impact of financial development on economic growth can be ignored.

Following Ozturk (2010) that surveys energy-growth nexus, we provide chronological lists of the empirical studies on finance-growth causal nexus by author, time frame, empirical approach and empirical results in table 1-3. To this end, table 1 covers time-series analysis, table 2 covering multi-country time series analysis and finally table 3 summarizes panel data samples.

Table 1 - Overview of the country-specific studies on finance-growth nexus

Authors	Period	Country	Methodology	Causality Relationship
Ray (2013)	1990-91 to 2010-11	India	Granger Causality Test	FD⇒ EG
Ndlovu (2013)	1980-2006	Zimbabwe	Multivariate Granger Causality Test-Johansen Cointegration Test	$EG \Rightarrow FD$
Carby et al. (2012)	1946-2011	Barbados	VAR- VECM	EG⇒FD (in the short run) FD⇔EG (in the long run)
Bojanic (2012)	1940-2010	Bolivia	Granger Regresssion-ECM	FD⇒EG
Chimobi (2010)	1970-2005	Nigeria	Cointegration-Granger Causality Test	FD⇒EG
Maswana (2009)	1980-2002	China	Hsiao's Version of the Granger Causality Tests	FD⇔EG
Perera (2009)	1955-2005	Sri Lanka	Johansen Cointegration- Granger Causality Test	Broad Money⇔EG Private Sector Credit⇒EG EG⇒ narrow money, total credit, private sector credit to total domestic credit
Yucel (2009)	1989M1 -2007M11	Turkey	Johansen -Granger Causality Test	FD⇔EG
Klimani (2009)	1970:I-2006:IV	Uganda	Granger Causality Test	FD⇒EG
Ozturk (2008)	1975-2005	Turkey	VAR	$EG \Rightarrow FD$ $FDEG (in the long run)$
Odhiambo (2008)	1969-2005	Kenya	Co-integration and Error Correction Techniques	EG⇒FD
Acaravci et al. (2007)	1986:I-2006:IV	Turkey	VAR	FD⇒EG
Liang and Teng (2006)	1952-2001	China	VAR Approach	EG⇒ FD
Shan and Jianhong (2006)	1978-2001	China	VAR Approach	FD⇔EG
Odhiambo (2005)	1988-2012	Tanzania	Johansen Co-integration - ECM	FD⇔EG
Lee (2005)	1870-1926 1948-2002	Canada	VAR	FD⇒EG
Akinboade (1998)	1976-1995	Botswana	Granger Causality Test- Error Correction Method	EG ⇔ FD
Dritsakis and Adamopoulos (2004)	1960:I –2000:IV	Greece	VAR-Granger Causality Test	FD⇒EG

Note: FD⇒EG refers to the causality runs from financial development to economic growth.

EG ⇒FD refers to the causality from economic growth to financial development.

EG⇔FD refers to a bi-directional causality.

EG---FD refers to no causality.

Table 2 - Overview of the studies covering selected countries on finance-growth nexus

Authors	Period	Country	Methodology	Causality Relationship
Esso (2010)	1960-2005	Economic	Pesaran et al.	FD⇒EG (Ghana, Liberia
, ,		Community of	(2001) approach	and Mali)
		West	Toda and Yamamoto	EG⇒FD(Cote
		African States	(1995)	d'Ivoire)
		(ECOWAS)		EG⇔FD(Cape Verde and
				Sierra Leone)
Enisan and	1980-2004	7 African	ARDL Bounds Test-	FD⇒EG(Egypt and South
Olufisayo (2009)		Countries	Granger Causality Test	Africa)
•				FD⇔EG (Cote d'Ivoire,
				Kenya, Morocco and
				Zimbabwe)
Eita and Jordaan	1977-2006	Botswana	VAR-Granger Causality	FD⇒EG
(2007)			Test	
Abu- Bader and	1960-2004	MENA Countries	VEC Methodology-	FDEG (in the short run)
Abu-Qarn (2006)			Granger Causality Tests	
Al-Tamimi et al.	different periods	8 Arab Countries	Granger Causality Test-	No clear evidence that
(2002)	for each		Cointegration Tests	financial development
	countries			affect or is affected by
				economic growth.
Darrat (1999)	not reported	3 Middle Eastern	Multivariate Granger	FD⇒EG (Turkey)
		Countries (Saudi	Causality	EG⇒FD (United Arab
		Arabia, Turkey	Tests	Emirates)
		and United Arab		FD⇔EG (Saudi Arabia)
		Emirates)		
Arestis and	1949-1992	12 countries	Cointegraiton and	FD⇔EG (UK,US,
Demetriades (1999)			Causality Tests	Germany, South Korea,
				Greece, India and France)
				FD⇒EG (Japan)
				EG⇒FD (Turkey and
				Chile)
Habibullah (1999)	1980-1990	7Asian countries	Granger Causality Test-	FD⇒EG (Philippines)
			VAR- VECM	EG⇒(Malaysia,
				Myanmar, and Nepal)
				FD⇔EG(Indonesia, Sri
				Lanka and Thailand
Demetriades and	1965-1992	Asian Countries	ADF, Engle-Granger,	FD⇒EG(Sri Lanka)
Hussein (1996)			Johansen Cointegration Test	EG⇒FD (Pakistan)
				FD⇔EG (India, South
				Korea and Thailand)
Thornton (1996)	1950-1990	22 Developing	Granger Causality Tests	FD⇒EG (Nepal,
` ,		Countries		Malaysia, the Philippines
				and Thailand)
				EG⇒FD (Myanmar and
				Korea)
				FD⇔EG (Malaysia)

Note: FD⇒EG refers to the causality runs from financial development to economic growth.

EG ⇒FD refers to the causality from economic growth to financial development.

EG⇔FD refers to a bi-directional causality.

EG---FD refers to no causality.

Table 3 - Overview of multi-country studies on finance-growth nexus

Authors	Period	Country	Methodology	Causality Relationship
Kar et al.(2011)	1980-2007	15 MENA	Bootstrap Panel Granger	EG ⇒ FD \
		Countries	Causality Analysis	FD⇒ EG ∫ no consensus
Menyah, et al.	21 African	1965-2008	Panel Causality	FDEG
(2014)	Countries		Approach	
Hsueh et al. (2013)	1980-2007	10 Asian	Bootstrap Panel Granger	FD⇒ EG (Malaysia,
		Countries	Causality Analysis	Indonesia, Korea,
				Singapore,
				Thailand, Taiwan and
				China)
				FDEG (Philippines,
				India and Japan)
Chow and Fung	1970-2004	69 Countries	Regime Switching	in all clusters;
(2013)	27.0 2001	o, commo	Panel Vector -	EG ⇒FD
-/			Autoregression Model	or EG⇔FD
Jun (2012)	1960-2009	27 Asian	Panel Cointegration	EG⇔FD
,		Countries	Techniques	
Hassan et al. (2011)	1980-2007	Low- Middle and	Panel Estimations and	In the short run;
		High Income	Multivariate Time Series	FD⇔EG (Europe &
		Countries	Methodology	Central Asia, Europe &
		Classified by		Central Asia, Middle East
		Geographic		& North Africa, South
		Regions		Asia, High-income OECD
				countries, High-income
				non-OECD countries)
				FD⇒EG (Sub-Saharan
				Africa and East Asia &
Rachdi and Mbarek	1990-2006	6 OECD and 4	Panel Data Cointegration	Pacific) EG⇔FD (in OECD)
(2011)	1990-2000	MENA Regions	and GMM System	
(2011)		Countries	approaches	FD⇒EG (in MENA)
Caporale et al.	1994-2007	10 New EU	Dynamic Panel	FD⇒EG
(2009)		Members	Regression-GMM	12-120
Kakilli-Acaravci et	1975-2005	Sub-Saharan	Panel Co-integration and	EG⇒FD(domestic credit)
al. (2009)		African Countries	GMM	EGFD (in the long run)
Apergis et al. (2007)	1975-2000	15 OECD and 50	Panel Cointegration	EG⇔FD
		Non-OECD	Methodology	
		Countries		
Habibullah and Eng	1990-1998	13 Developing	System GMM	FD⇒EG
(2006)		Asian Countries		
Christopoulos	1970-2000	10 Developing	Dynamic Panel Data	FD⇒EG
andTsionas (2004)	1000 1001	Countries	estimation	
Calderón and Liu	1960-1994	109 Developing	Geweke Decomposition	FD⇒EG
(2003)		and Industrial	Test	EG ⇒FD
Levine and	1076 1002	Countries 47 Countries	Cross Country	ED .EC
Zervos (1998)	1976-1993	47 Countries	Regressions	FD⇒EG
	I .	L	enment to accoming growth	

Note: FD⇒EG refers to the causality runs from financial development to economic growth.

EG ⇒FD refers to the causality from economic growth to financial development.

EG⇔FD refers to a bi-directional causality.

EG---FD refers to no causality.

As can be seen from table 1-3, there results do not indicate a certain relationship, regarless of whether country or country groups are included into the analyses. That is causality results may vary across different countries and/or country groups.

In addition to the studies outlined from table 1 to table 3, there are several papers looking into the relationship between financial development and economic growth using different methodologies aside from causality. We summarize these studies below from the latest through the aged.

For Western China, Huang et al. (2016) evaluate the interdependence between financial development and economic growth using regression models in Western China. The findings reveal that financial development can spur economic growth via developing total factor productivity. Also, financial development is more substantial factor than human capital for growth.

In 52 middle income countries, Samargandia et al. (2015) reexamine the link between financial development and economic growth for the period 1980-2008 by employing pooled mean group estimations. Emprical findings reveal that there is an inverted U-sahaped relationship between financial development and economic growth in the long term, while there is a insignificant relationship in the short run. In other words, too much financial development could cause an negative impact on economic growth.

Samargandia et al. (2014) study the connection between financial development and economic growth in Saudi Arabia using ARDL Bound Test approach. Findings reveal that financial development has a positive effect on economic growth of non-oil sector, while it has negative or insignificant effect on growth of oil sector and total GDP.

Owen and Temeswary (2014) examine the effects of bank finance and foreign bank relationship on economic growth over the period 1995-2010 by using maximum likelihood and BIC methods. The emprical results indicate that in countries which have undeveloped banking sectors, the impact of foreign-owned lenders relative to locally-owned banks can prevent growth.

By using innovative dynamic panel threshold method, Law and Singh (2014) investigate relationship between finance and economic growth in 87 developed and developing countries. The main finding of study reveals a threshold effect relationship between finance and growth. In other words, optimal financial development level is necassary for growth.

Herwartz and Walle (2014) analyze the relation between long run financial development and evaluable economic indicators for 73 economies during the 1975-2011 periods by applying a flexible semiparametric approach. The main finding of the study is that the effect of finance on economic development is usually more powerful in high income economies rather than low income ones.

Adu et al. (2013) explore the long run effect of financial development in Ghana over the period 1961-2010 using ARDL method. Emprical results show that growth effect of finacial development is sensible to the choice of agent used. In other words, economic growth relies on selected financial development indicators.

Uddin et al. (2013) investigate the role of financial development on economic growth in Kenya for the period 1971-2011 by using ARDL and Gregory-Hansen's structural break cointegration techniques. Finding indicates that financial market has a positive effect on economic growth in the long run.

Chen et al. (2013) search non-linearity between financial development and economic growth in China during the period 1978-2010. Empirical findings demonstrate that finance has a significant positive impsct on growth in cities that have high income cities while negative impact is detected in low income ones.

Shahbaz (2013) investigates relationship between financial development and economic growth in Pakistan by using ARDL bounds testing technique. Empirical results show a positive relationship between financial development and economic growth.

Narayan and Narayan (2013) investigate the role of financial system on economic growth for 65 developing countries. Empirical results show that when financial sector led growth in all countries, bank credit has a negative impact on economic growth. In Middle Eastern countries financial sector and banking sector do not effect growth. Generally growth effect of financial development is comparatively weak except Asia.

Anwar and Cooray (2012) explore direct effects of financial development on economic growth and quality of governance and financial development effects on economic growth in South Asia over the period 1970-2009 bu employing GMM approach. Results indicate that financial development affects economic growth.

Bittencourt (2012) examines the finance-growth nexus in four Latin American Countries over the period 1980 and 2007 by employing panel time series technique. Emprical results suggest that fianncial development has a significant effect on growth in the region. It means that Schumpeter's view is true.

Zheng et al. (2012) examine relationship between financial development and economic growth for 286 Chinese cities by applying cross-sectional regressions and system GMM approach. The results indicate that financial development indicators affect economic growth positively.

Nyamongo et al. (2012) explores impact of remittances and financial development on economic growth in 36 countries of Africa between 1980 and 2009. They employ panel econometrics technique. Results show that financial development has a weak influence on economic growth.

Charby et al. (2012) investigate Patrick hypothesis (1966) which explains the direction of casuality between financial development and economic growth in Barbados during the 1946-2011 period by employing VECM and VAR models. Emprical results rejects the validity of this hypothesis.

Jalil et al. (2010) reconsider relationship between financial development and economic growth in China. They employ principal components analysis and ARDL aproaches. Emprical results show that financial development increases economic growth and principal components has significant impact for evaluating relationship between financial development and economic growth.

Koetter and Wedow (2010) investigate relationship between financial development and regional growth in Germany by using 97 economic planning regions and all German banks over the period 1995-2005. They

seperate impacts of financial development like traditional volume effect and a quality effect. Panel estimation results show that quality indicator t has a significantly positive effect on growth and volume effect indicator has no significant effect on economic growth.

Ang (2008) investigates the impacts of financial development on economic growth in Malaysia by using ARDL, UECM and DOLS procedures. The main finding is that financial development has a significant positive impact on economic growth.

Al-Zubi et al. (2006) examine relationship betwen financial development and economic growth in eleven ARAB countries from 1980 to 2001. They employ Hausman's specification test for investigate fixed and random effects in the panel data. Obtained results reveal that selected indicators of financial development are not significant and there is no positive relatioship between financial development indicators and growth.

Liu and Hsu (2006) explore relationship between financial development and growth in three Asian countries which are Taiwan, Korea and Japan by employing GMM method and principal component analysis. The results indicate that financial development has a positive impact on economic growth in Taiwan unlike the other countries that has a negative effect. Besides the stock market development has a positive effect on economic growth in Taiwan.

Bolbol et al. (2005) explore relationship between financial structure and total factor productivity in Egypt over the period 1974–2002. The findings reveal that banking indicators have a negative effect on total factor productivity as long as they are not related per capita income threshold level.

Levin et al. (2000) investigate the role of financial intermediary on economic growth in 74 developed and developing countries for the period 1960-1995 by using two econometric methods such as GMM and cross sectional analysis. Findigs indicate that there is a strong positive relationship between financial development and economic growth.

Levin (1997) examines that the role of financial development indicators on economic growth in eleven Arab countries for the period 1980-2001 by using panel data method. The emprical findings reveal that all used financial indicators are insignificat and do not effect economic growth.

King and Levin (1993a) explore positive relationship between financial indicators and economic growth which is consistent with Schumpeter's view in 80 countries during 1960-1989 periods. The results show that financial development is potently related with economic growth rates, physical capital accumulation, and economic efficiency improvements.

Results of aforementioned studies reported above commonly show that financial development has a significant positive impact on economic growth. That is, results based on regression approach achieve a consessus unlike the results based on causality approaches.

III. CONCLUSION

The goal of this paper is to survey the literature exploring the relationship between financial development and economic growth. Finance-growth literature is not only a well-know but also a stil growing literature. Although there are some previous surveys on this issue, we aim to explore latest studies and provide a fresh review for the literature. Understanding the interaction between financial development and economic growth is very crucial for policymakers to develop an appropriate economic strategy. A general conclusion has drawn from studies under review here shows that it seems impossible to reach a consessus on the direction of causality. This means that causal relationship between financial development and economic growth is responsive to the different dataset, countries' charecteristics, different measures of the variables and various empirical methodologies. Results based on regression estimations, on the other hand, are seen to provide more uniformed findings. It is found for the majority of the samples that financial development has a significant positive impact on economic growth.

As a conclusion of this survey, policymakers should realize the fact that although it is unclear whether financial development induces growth or vice versa first, it is more likely that financial development affects economic growth positively in the majority of the countries and/or country groups.

IV. REFERENCES

- 1. Abu-Bader, S. And Abu-Qarn, A. S. (2006), Financial Development and Economic Growth Nexus: The Time Series Evidence from Middle Eastern and NorthAfrican Countries, Monaster Center for Economic Research, Discussion Paper No: 06-09.
- 2. Acaravci, A., Ozturk, I. and Kakilli Acaravci, S. (2007), Finance Growth Nexus: Evidence from Turkey, *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, Issue 11, 30-40.
- 3. Adu, G., Marbuah, G. And Mensah, J. T. (2013), Financial Development and Economic Growth in Ghana: Does the Measure Offinancial Development Matter? *Review of Development Finance*, 3, 192–203.
- 4. Akinboade, O. A. (1998), Financial Development and Economic Growth in Botswana: A Test for Causality, Savings and Development, 22(3), 331-348.
- 5. Al-Tamimi, H. A. H., Al-Awad, M. and Charif, H. A. (2002), Finance and Growth: Evidence from Some Arab Countries, *Journal of Transnational Management Development*, 7(2), 3-18.
- 6. Al-Zubi, K., Al-Rjoub, S. and Abu-Mhareb, E. (2006), Financial Development and Economic Growth: A New Empirical Evidence from the MENA Countries, 1989-2001, *Applied Econometrics and International Development*, 6(3), 137-150.

ECOFORUM

[Volume 5, Special Issue, 2016]

- Ang, J. B. (2008), What are the Mechanisms Linking Financial Development and Economic Growth in Malaysia? *Economic Modelling*, 25, 38–53.
- 8. Anwar, S. and Cooray, A. (2012), Financial development, political rights, civil liberties and economic growth: Evidencefrom South Asia, *Economic Modelling*, 29, 974–981.
- 9. Apergis, N., Filippidis, I. and Economidou, C. (2007), Financial Deepening and Economic Growth Linkages: A Panel Data Analysis, *Review of World Economics*, 143 (1), 179-198.
- 10. Arestis, P. and Demetriades, P. (1999), Finance and Growth: Institutional Considerations, Financial Policies and Causality, *Zagreb International Review of Economics & Business*, 2(1), 37-62.
- 11. Beck, T., Levine, R. and Loayza, N. (2000), Finance and the Sources of Growth, Journal of Banking & Finance, 28, 423-442.
- 12. Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A. and Maksimovic, V., (2005), Financial and legal constraint to growth: Does size matter?, *Journal of Finance*, 1(2), 137-177.
- 13. Beck, T. and Levine, R. (2004), Stock Market, Banks and Growth: Panel Evidence, Journal of Financial Economics, 58, 261-300.
- Bittencourt, M.(2012), Financial Development and Economic Growth in Latin America: Is Schumpeter Right?, Journal of Policy Modeling, 34(3), 341–355.
- Blum, D., Federmair, K., Fink, G. and Haiss, P. (2002), The Financial-Real Sector Nexus: Theory and Empirical Evidence, IEF Working Paper, No. 43.
- Bojanic, A. N. (2012), The Impact OF Financial Development and Trade on the Economic Growth of Bolivia, *Journal of Applied Economics*, 15(1), 51-70.
- 17. Bolbol, A. A., Fatheldin, A. and Omran, M. M. (2005), Financial Development, Structure, and Economic Growth: The Case of Egypt, 1974–2002, *Research in International Business and Finance*, 19, 171–194.
- Calderon, C. and Liu, L. (2003), The Direction of Causality Between Financial Development and Economic Growth, *Journal of Development Economics*, 72, 321–334.
- Caporale, G. M., Rault, C., Sova, R. and Sova, A. (2009), Financial Development and Economic Growth: Evidence from Ten New EU Members, DIW Berlin Discussion Papers 940.
- 20. Chen, K.C., Wu, L. and Wen, J. (2013), The relationship between finance and growth in China, *Global Finance Journal*, 24, 1–12.
- Carby, Y., Craigwell, R., Wright, A. and Wood, A. (2012), Finance and Growth Causality: A Test of the Patrick's Stage-of-Development Hypothesis, *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 3(21),129-139.
- 22. Chimobi, O. M. (2010), The causal Relationship among Financial Development, Trade Openness and Economic Growth in Nigeria, *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 2(2),137-147.
- 23. Chow, W. W. and Fung, M. K. (2013), Financial Development and Growth: A Clustering and
- 24. Causality Analysis, The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development, 22(3),430-453.
- 25. Christopoulos, D. K. and Tsionas, E. G. (2004), Financial Development and Economic Growth: Evidence from Panel Unit Root and Cointegration Tests, *Journal of Development Economics*, 73, 55-74.
- Darrat, A. F. (1999), Are Financial Deepening and Economic Growth CausallyRelated? Another Look at the Evidence, International Economic Journal, 13(3), 19-35.
- 27. Demetriades, P. O. and Hussein, K. A. (1996), Does Financial Development Cause Economic
- 28. Growth? Time-Series Evidence from 16 Countries, Journal of Development Economics, 51, 387-411.
- 29. Dritsakis, N. and Adamopoulos, A. (2004) Financial Development and Economic Growth in Greece: An Empirical Investigation with Granger Causality Analysis, International Economic Journal, 18(4), 547-559.
- 30. Eita, J. H. and Jordaan, A. C. (2007), A Causality Analysis between Financial Development and Economic Growth for Botswana, *University of Pretoria Department of Economics Working Paper Series, Working Paper:* 2007-22.
- 31. Enisan, A. A. and Olufisayo, A. O. (2009), Stock Market Development and Economic Growth: Evidence from Seven Sub-Sahara African Countries, *Journal of Economics and Business*, 61,162–171.
- 32. Esso, L. J. (2010), Cointegrating and Causal Relationship between Financial Development and Economic Growth in ECOWAS Countries, *Journal of Economics and International Finance*, 2(3), 36-48.
- 33. Goldsmith, R.W., (1969), Financial Structure and Development, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1969.
- 34. Habibullah, M. S. (1999), Financial Development and Economic Growth in Asian Countries: Testing the Financial-Led Growth Hypothesis, *Savings and Development*, 23(3), 279-291.
- 35. Habibullah, M.S. and Eng, Y-K. (2006), Does Financial Development Cause Economic Growth? A Panel Data Dynamic Analysis for the Asian Developing Countries, *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy*,11(4), 377–393.
- 36. Hassan, M. K., Sanchez, B., and Yu, J-S. (2011), Financial Development and Economic Growth: New Evidence From Panel Data, *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*,51, 88–104.
- 37. Herwartz, H. and Wall, Y. M. (2014), Determinants of the Link Between Financial and Economic Development: Evidence from a Functional Coefficient Model, *Economic Modelling*, 37, 417–427.
- 38. Hsueh, S-J., Hu, Y-H and Tu, C-H. (2013), Economic Growth and Financial Development in Asian Countries: A Bootstrap Panel Granger Causality Analysis, *Economic Modelling*, 32, 294–301.
- Huang, Y., Liu, Y. And Wu, H. (2016), The Finance–Growth Nexus and Poverty Reduction in Western China, Emerging Markets Finance & Trade, 52:513–521.
- Jalil, A., Feridun, M. and Ma, Y. (2010), Finance-Growth Nexus in China Revisited: New Evidence from Principal Components and ARDL Bounds Tests, *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 19, 189–195.
- 41. Jun, S. (2012), Financial Development and Output Growth: A Panel Study for Asian Countries, *Journal of East Asian Economic Integration*, 16(1), 97-115.
- 42. Kar, M., Nazlıoglu, Ş. and Agır, H. (2011), Financial Development and Economic Growth Nexus in the MENA countries: Bootstrap Panel Granger Causality Analysis, *Economic Modelling*, 28, 685–693.
- 43. Kakilli Acaravci, S., Ozturk, I. and Acaravci, A. (2009), Financial Development and Economic Growth: Literature Survey and Empirical Evidence from Sub-Saharan African Countries, *SAJEMS NS*, 12 (1), 11-27.
- 44. King, R. G. and Levine, R. (1993a), Finance and Growth: Schumpeter Might be Right, *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 108(3), 717-737.
- King, R.G., Levine, R., (1993b) Finance, entrepreneurship, and growth: Theory and evidence, *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 32, 513-542.
- 46. Klimani, N. (2009), The Link Between Financial Development and Economic Growth in Uganda: A Causality Test, CSEA Conference
- 47. Koetter, M. and Wedow, M. (2010), Finance and Growth in a Bank-Based Economy: Is it Quantity or Quality that Matters?, Journal of International Money and Finance, 29, 1529–1545.
- 48. Law, S. H. and Singh, N. (2014), Does too Much Finance Harm Economic Growth?, Journal of Banking & Finance, 41, 36-44.

ECOFORUM

[Volume 5, Special Issue, 2016]

- Lee, J. (2005), Financial Intermediation and Economic Growth Evidence from Canada, Eastern Economics Association, New York.
- Levine, R. (1997), Financial Development and Economic Growth: Views and Agenda, Journal of Economic Literature, Vol. XXXV, 688–726.
- Levine, R. (2003), More on Finance and Growth: More Finance, More Growth?, http://research.stlouisfed.org/publications/review/03/07/Levine.pdf.
- Levine, R., Loayza, N. and Beck, T. (2000), Financial Intermediation and Growth: Causality and Causes, *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 46, 31-77.
- 53. Levine, R. and Zervos, S. (1998), Stock Markets, Banks, and Economic Growth, *The American Economic Review*, 88, (3), 537-558.
- Liang, Q. and Teng, J-Z. (2006), Financial Development and Economic Growth: Evidence from China, China Economic Review, 17, 395–411.
- Liu, W-C. and Hsu, C-M. (2006), The Role of Financial Development in Economic Growth: The Experiences of Taiwan, Korea, and Japan, *Journal of Asian Economics*, 17, 667–690.
- 56. Maswana, J-C. (2009), A Contribution to the Empirics of Finance-Growth Nexus in China: A
- 57. Complex System Perspective, Global Economic Review, 38(1), 29-47.
- 58. McKinnon, R.I., (1973), Money and Capital in Economic Development, Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution.
- 59. Menyah, K., Nazlioglu, S. and Wolde-Rufael, Y. (2014), Financial Development, Trade Openness and Economic Growth in African Countries: New Insights from a Panel Causality Approach, *Economic Modelling*, 37, 386–394.
- Narayan, P. H. and Narayan, S. (2013), The Short-Run Relationship between the Financial System and Economic Growth: New Evidence From Regional Panels, *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 29, 70–78.
- Ndlovu, G. (2013), Financial Sector Development and Economic Growth: Evidence from Zimbabwe, International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 3(2), 435-446.
- 62. Nyamongoa, E. M.,*, Misatib, R. N., Kipyegonb, L. and Ndirangu, L. (2012), Remittances, Financial Development and Economic Growth in Africa, *Journal of Economics and Business*, 64,240–260.
- 63. Odhiambo, N. M. (2005), Financial Development and Economic Growth in Tanzania, African Finance Journal, 7(1), 1-17.
- Odhiambo, N. M. (2008), Financial Depth, Savings and Economic Growth in Kenya: A Dynamic Causal Linkage, Economic Modelling, 25, 704–713.
- 65. Owen, A. L. and Temesvary, J. (2014), Heterogeneity in the Growth and Finance Relationship: How does the Impact of Bank Finance Vary by Country and Type of Lending?, *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 31, 275–288.
- 66. Ozturk, I. (2008), Financial Development and Economic Growth: Evidence from Turkey, *Applied Econometrics and International Development*, 8(1), 85-98.
- 67. Ozturk, I. (2010), A Literature Survey on Energy-Growth Nexus, Energy Policy, 38, 340-349.
- 68. Patrick, H. T. (1966), Financial development and economic growth in underdeveloped countries, *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 14, 174-189.
- 69. Perera, N. (2009), Financial Development and Economic Growth in Sri Lanka, *Applied Econometrics and International Development*, 9 (1), 157-164.
- 70. Rachdi, H. and Mbarek, H. B. (2011), The Causality between Financial Development and Economic Growth: Panel Data Cointegration and GMM System Approaches, *International Journal of Economics and Financ*, 3(1), 143-151.
- 71. Rajan, R.G., Zingales, L., (1998), Financial dependence and growth, American Economic Review, 88, 559-586.
- 72. Ray, S. (2013), Does Financial Development Promote Economic Growth in India? *International Journal of Economic Practices and Theories*, 3(3), 140–151.
- 73. Robinson, J. (1952), The Rate of Interest and Other Essays, London: MacMillan.
- 74. Samargandia, N., Fidrmuca, J. And Ghosh, S. (2014), Financial Development and Economic Growth in an Oil-Rich Economy: The Case Of Saudi Arabia, Economic Modelling, 43, 267–278.
- 75. Samargandia, N., Fidrmuc, J., and Ghosh, S. (2015), Is the Relationship Between Financial Development and Economic Growth Monotonic? Evidence from a Sample of Middle-Income Countries, *World Development*, 68, 66-81.
- 76. Schumpeter, J.A., (1911), A Theory of Economic Development, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- 77. Shahbaz, M. (2013), Financial Development, Economics Growth, Income Inequality Nexus:
- 78. A Case Study of Pakistan, International Journal of Economics and Empirical Research. 1(3), 24-47.
- Shan, J. and Jianhong, Q. (2006), Does Financial Development 'Lead' Economic Growth? The Case of China, Annals of Economics and Finance, 1, 197–216.
- 80. Shaw, E.S., (1973), Financial Deepening in Economic Development, New York: Oxford University Press.
- 81. Thornton, J. (1996), Financial deepening and economic growth in developing economies, *Applied Economics Letters*, 3(4), 243-246
- 82. Uddin, G. S., Sjö, B. and Shahbaz, M. (2013), The Causal Nexus Between Financial Development and Economic Growth in Kenya, *Economic Modelling*, 35, 701–707.
- 83. Uyanga, J. and Suruga, T. (2008), Nexus Between Financial Sector Development and Economic Growth: Empirical Analysis of 112 Countries, Journal of International Cooperation Studies, 15, 3, 95-116.
- 84. Yucel, F. (2009), Causal Relationships between Financial Development, Trade Openness and Economic Growth: The Case of Turkey. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(1), 33-42.
- Zheng, J., Wang, L. and Wang, S. (2012), Financial Development and Economic Growth: Recent Evidence from China, *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 40, 393–412.