

391 de Forum Eco

De la Quick Submit (Quick Submit)

Procesat la 16-mai-2016 15:22 EEST

ID: 674774844

Numărul cuvintelor: 2656

Indice de similitudine	Similitudine în funcție de sursă
30%	Internet Sources: 29% Publicații: 4% Lucrările studentului: 10%

## surse:

- 1 18% match (Internet de la data de 22-mar.-2016)  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Avtandil\\_Silagadze](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Avtandil_Silagadze)
- 2 4% match (Internet de la data de 02-mar.-2016)  
<http://ecoforumjournal.ro/index.php/eco/article/view/365>
- 3 1% match (Internet de la data de 09-dec.-2015)  
<http://waset.org/publications/10001127/transnational-higher-education->
- 4 1% match (Internet de la data de 22-iul.-2014)  
<http://georgiamonitor.org/detail.php?ID=504>
- 5 1% match (Internet de la data de 02-mar.-2016)  
<http://ecoforumjournal.ro/index.php/eco/article/view/321>
- 6 1% match (Internet de la data de 07-mar.-2016)  
<http://www.vu.lt/en/scientific-report-2012/faculties-and-institutes/faculty-of-economics>
- 7 1% match (lucrările studenților la data de 25-nov.-2014)  
[Submitted to Coventry University on 2014-11-25](#)
- 8 1% match (Internet de la data de 30-mai-2014)  
[http://gfsis.org/media/download/geplac/Georgian\\_Economic\\_Trends/get04n4e.pdf](http://gfsis.org/media/download/geplac/Georgian_Economic_Trends/get04n4e.pdf)
- 9 1% match (publicații)  
[Abesadze, Otar. "The Influence of European Neighbouring Policy Upon the Economic Development of Georgia". Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences. 2015.](#)
- 10 < 1% match (Internet de la data de 22-feb.-2016)  
<http://waset.org/Publications/?fields%5Bkeywords%5D=on&path=Publications&q=Agricultural+sector&search=Search>
- 11 < 1% match (Internet de la data de 11-apr.-2016)  
<http://waset.org/Publications/?>

**textul lucrării:**

**HISTORICAL PARALLELS OF THE NATIONAL ECONOMIC DOCTRINES** Abstract Historical experience shows that problems of economic development in certain countries are linked to the rational use of economic resources. It is not accidental that this issue was of high importance as early as in the 19th century in Georgia. An outstanding Georgian writer Ilia Tchavtchavadze (1951-1961) and other researchers (see References) dedicated interesting works to studying this problem. Like German national economic doctrines, Ilia Tchavtchavadze was much concerned with the priorities of national economy. Based on the research results, the present work provides conclusions that Ilia Tchavtchavadze's economic doctrine complies with German as well as classic economic doctrines with the only difference that development of Georgian economy should start with the development of agriculture; complies with free trade policy; gives great importance to building railway network and Batumi-Baku pipeline, development of oil industry, co-existence of private and community ownership and inadmissibility of forceful infringement of such ownership; lays foundation to building of an independent banking system in Georgia. Keywords: German school, national economic doctrine, post-Soviet economy JEL Classification: B10, B20, B21, B22

**I. INTRODUCTION** Problems with economic development of countries in transition are often linked to the lack of economic resources or inefficient use of existing resources. Attracting foreign investment in such countries is quite crucial but foreign funds are not invested in real sector leaving us with no chance to create new jobs. The same happened in Post-Soviet Georgia. (Basilia, Silagadze, A., Chikvaidze, 2001; Papava, 2013; Silagadze, A., Zubiashvili and Atanelishvili, 2013, 2016; Silagadze, A., Tokmazishvili and Atanelishvili, 2014; Silagadze and Atanelishvili, 2004; Tvalchrelidze, Silagadze, A., Keshelashvili, Gegia, 2011). As it seems, the issue was quite challenging in Georgia in the 19th century. (Atanelishvili, 2006; Veshapidze, 1999). A renowned Georgian writer and public figure Ilia Tchavtchavadze studied this problem and like representatives of German national doctrines, he paid great attention to increasing importance of priorities of national economy.

**II. GIVING PRIORITY TO GENERALIZATION OF NATIONAL ECONOMIC PARTICULARITIES** German historical school (J. Möser, A. Müller, F. List, W. Roscher, B. Hildebrand, K. Knies, G. Schmoller ...) (Silagadze, 2010-1996; Kharitonashvili, 1997; Силагадзе, 1991, 2011; Силагадзе и Атанелишвили, 2007, 2010) considered it of greatest significance to study national particularities of certain countries and also, to take into account external economic factors. According to F. List, abolishment of domestic customs and introduction of a common customs system would encourage the creation of a unified German state. Being involved in promoting of the railway construction, he was a supporter of the idea of the West-East Railway (from the Rhine to the Danube and to the Black Sea) and safety customs system for encouraging national production in certain period of time. According to B. Hildebrand, the challenge of economic science is to study all stages of economic development of certain nations and the entire mankind, and to understand the basics of modern economic culture and the challenges that are solved by labour of modern generation. Thus, he believes that economists should study the national economic history. According to K. Knies, the subject of research of political economy appertains to the sphere of historical events, and laws of political economy should be presented in a historical aspect where each of the stages implies understanding of generalization of development to certain point. In general, the requirement of early representatives of the historical school to analyze economic events in a historical light failed to be accomplished in the time of their authors. Neither of its followers could create an economic theory using the method developed by them. Their economic achievements moved across the paths of classicists. It is not accidental that generation following the historical school criticized not only classicists but methods of previous-generation historical school. G. Schmoller demanded active involvement of state in the economy to encourage the national economy and to soften class contradictions. Their ideas developed in different forms later.

**III. PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT OF AGRICULTURE** In several Post-Soviet countries, the economic development

depends on exporting of raw materials mainly, while in other countries (including Georgia) little attention is paid to the utilization of local resources and greater emphasis is placed on import (Silagadze and Zubiashvili, 2015; Silagadze, Tvalchrelidze, Zubiashvili and Atanelishvili, 2016; Nedelea Alexandru, Comănescu Laura and Ielenicz Mihai, 2009). In the 1990s, potential agricultural resources of Georgia were left unused (notwithstanding the land privatization) and the country became dependant on import. In 2004-2012, the situation in this sector worsened again. Currently, the share of agriculture in NDP does not exceed 10 % whereas according to the official statistics, number of people employed in this sector is more than half of the total number of the employed people in Georgia (Silagadze, 2010 - 2014; Silagadze and Atanelishvili, 2011, 2014). These were the problems that faced an outstanding writer and public figure Ilia Tchavtchavadze (1834-1907) who played greatest role in the development of certain aspects of the national economic doctrine in Georgia in the last years of the 19th century and the beginning of the 20th century. His works ("Life and Law", 1977-81; "The Refugees Case"; two essays 1880, 1886; "Private and Community Landowning", 1886; "Domestic Reviews", 1879- 85; "Farmers Union of Kakheti", 1895; "Customs Policy in Europe, Free Trading and Protectionism", 1887; "Problems of Economic Life of Our Country", 1893; "Shortcomings of Public Life and Its Economic Reasons", 1897; several essays on industry, trade, financial and banking issues) (Silagadze, 2010) give an overview of issues of effective use of natural resources of Georgia and provide specific recommendations. To him, national economic interests are of highest priority. He believes that rationally managed agriculture should be the foundation to the prosperity of the country in a certain period of time. He links the prosperity of the Georgian people to the economic development which should start from using existing natural resources. This recommendation by Ilia Tchavtchavadze was based on the conditions of that time, when small-scale investment in the agriculture of rich potential resources could yield successful results in a short time and stimulate the development of other sectors of economy. It is not accidental that considerable part of Ilia's "Essays" address the issues of lithographic stones, manganese in the Tchiatura city, development of oil industry, and building of railway, roads and irrigation system. He considered the German economic progress in the end of the 19th century staffed with qualified workers an excellent example of economic development. Nowadays, Baku-Tbilisi pipeline which was forecasted by Ilia and later built is of crucial importance (Silagadze and Atanelishvili, 2010). Ilia Tchvtchavadze worried about monopolistic-oligopolistic attitude of local large-scale merchants who refrained from investing in the national economy (construction of railway, sugar plant, precious stone processing factories, etc.) that really had great potentials. To avoid imperfect competition, Ilia suggested creation of a consumers society which would purchase local produce from the peasantry at a moderate price and then sell it. For this purpose, he wrote the first in Georgia charter of Tbilisi Consumers Society (1869). (Silagadze, 2010). Ilia Tchavtchavadze was quite careful in the development of foreign economic policy of Georgia, although he revealed deep knowledge of free trade and protectionist policy. In general, he supports free trade which means freedom of commerce and independence of economy from the state. In his opinion, the challenge of the protectionist policy is to protect the national economy from foreign competition but he knew very well that such policy would not work in a long-term perspective. Finally, he chose an open, independent market which is in full compliance with the principles of modern market economy. In addition, Ilia's attitude towards the doctrine of comparative advantage is still challenging in the international trade. According to the doctrine, in different countries one manufacturer (compared to other manufacturers)

7has a comparative advantage over another in producing a particular good if they can produce that good at a lower cost.

According to the example Ilia gave, priority should have been given to importing of bread from Russia and exporting to Russia of those goods that were produced in Georgia under comparative advantage. Ilia

Tchavtchavadze's cautiousness towards foreign investments were caused by low purchasing capacity of local population. The same happened in Post-Soviet countries where in terms of fast privatization and low purchasing capacity the locals failed to purchase property. Ilia Tchavtchavadze played vital role in the development of an independent banking system. For 30 years he managed Tbilisi Nobility bank (1875). Building of the independent banking system continued in the Democratic Republic of Georgia (1918-1921) and ended in the middle of the 1990s in Post-Soviet Georgia by creating current system. (Atanelishvili, 2006, 2013; Silagadze and Tokmazishvili, 2008; Silagadze, Nodari., 2011; Силагадзе, А., 1991, 2010, 2011; Силагадзе и Атанелишвили, 2010). IV. CONCLUSION Ilia Tchavtchavadze's national economic doctrine: - complies with German as well as classic economic doctrines with the only difference that agriculture should have been given the top priority in the development of Georgian economy which would have created firm foundation for the development of industry and trade; - complies with free trade policy, open economy and concepts of comparative advantage; - gives great importance to building of a railway network and Batumi-Baku pipeline, development of oil industry, and national investments in the economic renaissance of the country; - considers it admissible for private and community ownership to co-exist and finds it inadmissible to forcefully infringe such ownership; - lays foundation to building of an independent banking system in Georgia. V. REFERENCES 1. Chavchavadze Ilia (1951-1961). Complete Works, vol.1-10. Tbilisi. 2. Atanelishvili, T. (2013). Historical Foundations of Post-Soviet Georgian Banking System

**9-Actual Problems of economies of Post-Communist Countries at current stage. TSU, Paata Gugushvili Institute of Economics. Tbilisi,**

pp.222-223. 3.

**2Atanelishvili, T. (2006). Economic Reforms in the Georgian Democratic Republic**

(1918-1921). Tbilisi University publishing., Tbilisi.

**84. Basilia,T., Silagadze,A., T Chikvaidze, T. (2001). Post-Socialistic Transformation: Georgian Economy at the Threshold of the XXI Century."Aradani", Tbilisi.**

5. Veshapidze, Sh. (1999). Methodology of Economic Research of Ilia Chavchavadze and Actual Issues of the Development of Modern Economics. Tbilisi. 6. Nedelea Alexandru, Comănescu Laura, Ielenicz Mihai. (2009). Some Considerations on Climatic Geomorphology of the Romanian Territory, Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences, Vol. 7. No.2 pp. 99 –106. 7.

**2Papava, V. (2013). Economic Reforms in Post-Communist Georgia: Twenty Years After. New York: Nova Science Publishers. 8. Silagadze, A., Tvalchrelidze,A., Zubiashvili, T.,**

Atanelishvili, T. (2016). Aspects of China's economic Development. J.

**1Ecoforum. Vol. 5. №1, 2016, pp. 47-64.**

9. Silagadze, A., Zubiashvili, T., Atanelishvili, T. (2016).

**2The Use of Drinking Water in the Conditions of Maintaining Ecological Balance. J. Ecoforum.**

Vol. 5. №1, 2016, pp. 66-69. 10. Silagadze, A., Zubiashvili, T.(2015).

**1Parameters of the European Union and the Post-Soviet Georgia's Economy.**

**1Refereed International Journal of Business and Management Studies (IJBMS),**

pp. 441–448. 11.

**2Silagadze, A. (2014). Integration Economic Indicators of the EU and Some Issues of Development of Post-Soviet Countries – New Associate Members of the EU. Moldavian Journal of International Law and International Relations. Issue 3, Volume 33, pp. 78-83.**

12. Silagadze, A., Atanelishvili, T. (2014).

**1The main economic indicators of the EU and Georgia “Topical problems of the development of economy and economic science.” Collection of scholarly works of Paata Gugushvili Institute of Economics TSU, pp.50-52.**

13. Silagadze,

**5A., Tokmazishvili, M., Atanelishvili, T. (2014). Post-Communist Economic Development: Obstacles and Prospects (A Georgian case-study). J. The Caucasus& Globalization. Vol. 7, Issue 3-4, pp. 72-81. CA&CC Press, Sweden.**

2013. pp. 80-90. 14. Silagadze, A. (2013).

**1Priorities for the Economy of Postcommunist Georgia in the Context of the World Financial Crisis. J. Problems of Economic Transition (USA). Issue:**

15. Silagadze, A. (2013).

**1 Post-Soviet Economy: Aspects of the Georgian economy.**

**<http://georgiamonitor.org/upload/medialibrary/dbd/dbd913e338d8e77bc832bebe67ae8e18.p>**

16. Silagadze, A. (2013).

**1 Some of the external aspects of the Georgian economy in modern times.**

**Moldavian Journal of International Law and Relations. No. 4 (30), pp. 143–149.**

17. Silagadze, A. (2012).

**1 Simplification of business registration and reality in Georgia - "National Economy and Globalization". TSU Paata Gugushvili Economic Institute. Vol. 2, Tbilisi, pp. 154–155.**

18. Silagadze, A. (2012).

**1 Priority to the Use of Resources - journal. "Science and Life". 1 (5), Tbilisi, pp. 17–23.**

19. Silagadze, A. (2011).

**1 Economic perspectives in Post-soviet Georgia – “Actual economic problems under Globalization”.**

**1 Collection of scholarly works of P. Gugushvili Institute of Economics, TSU. Tbilisi, pp.**

89–91. 20. Silagadze, A. (2011).

**1 Development of Agriculture of Georgia - The World Economic Crisis and Georgia", Journal. "Social Economy", № 1, pp. 69–71.**

21. Silagadze, A., Atanelishvili, T. (2011).

**1The Potential of the Agrarian Sector of Georgia – Priorities of the Sustainable Development of Agriculture. Tbilisi, TSU, pp. 418–419.**

22. Silagadze, A., Atanelishvili, T.

**2(2010). Aspects of economic doctrines in Georgia. Peninsula University of Technology, Business and Informatics. San Francisco**

(USA). 23.

**2Silagadze, A. (2010). Economic Doctrines. Georgian National Academy of Sciences, "Innovation", Tbilisi. Approx. 611 p. (C .I.A.:**

Atanelishvili, T., Silagadze, N.). 24. Silagadze, A., Atanelishvili, T.

**4(2010). Modern state finances of Georgia. International Academy of Sciences, Education, Industry and Arts. San Francisco (USA).**

25. Silagadze, A. (2010).

**1Economic Thought in Federal Georgia. The Caucasus& Globalization. Volume 4, Issue 3- 4, pp. 57–66. CA&CC Press, Sweden.**

26. Silagadze, A. (2009). Physiocratic Economic Doctrines. "Innovation", Tbilisi, 40 p. 27. Silagadze, A. (2009).

**1Neo-liberal – Ordo-liberal economic Doctrines. "Works". Volume VII. Academy of Economic Studies of Georgia. Tbilisi, Publishing "Siagle", pp. 107–126**

28. Silagadze, A.(2008).

**1Origin of Liberal Economic Doctrines. "Innovation", Tbilisi, 95 p.**

29. Silagadze, A. (2008).

**1Economic Doctrine of Adam Smith. "Innovation", Tbilisi, 51 p.**

30. Silagadze, A., Tokmazishvili, M. (2008).

**1 Aspects of the development of the banking system in Georgia. "Works". Vol. VI. Academy of Economic Studies of Georgia. Tbilisi, Publisher "Siachle", pp. 143–156.**

31. Silagadze, A. (2007).

**1 Economic Doctrine of the Middle Ages. Publication of Tbilisi University, Tbilisi, 40 p.**

32. Silagadze, A., Atanelishvili, T. (2007). Mercantilist Economic doctrine. "Innovation", Tbilisi, 66 p. 33. Silagadze, A. (2006).

**1 Sources of Economic Doctrines. Publication of Tbilisi University, Tbilisi, 65 p.**

34. Silagadze, A., Atanelishvili, T. (2004).

**1 Economist Scholars – Doctors of Economic Sciences in Georgia." News", Tbilisi, 122 p.**

35. Silagadze, A. (2001).

**1 History of Socio - Economic Thought (D. Ricardo). Journal: "Social Economy", № 1, Tbilisi.**

36. Silagadze, A. (2001).

**1 German New Historical School: G. Schmoller Economic Ideas. The collection of works of the Academy of Economic Sciences of Georgia, № 2, Tbilisi, "Siachle", pp. 283–297.**

37. Silagadze, A. (2001).

**1 History of Economic Thought. Publication of Tbilisi University, Tbilisi, 610 p.**

38. Silagadze, A. (2000).



**1F. List - German Economist and Publicist. Journal: "The Economy", № 1, Tbilisi.**

39. Silagadze, A. (1996).

**1Some Aspects of the History of Economic Thought. Tb., 163 p.**

40. Silagadze, Nodari. (2011). Stages of Development of Banking Sistem in Georgia – “Actual Ekonomik

**6Problems under Globalization.” Proceedings of Materials of International Scientific-Practical Conference Dedicated to the Foundation of Paata Gugushvili Institute of Economics.**

Tbilisi, pp. 262-264. 41.

**4Tvalchrelidze, A., Silagadze, A., Keshelashvili,G., Gegia, D. (2011). Georgia’s Social Economic Development Program. (2011). “Nekeri”, Tbilisi.**

42. Kharitonashvili, J. (1997). Retrospective of Economic Doctrines and Modern Problems. Book 1. Tbilisi.

43. Kharashvili, E.

**11(2016). Small Farm Diversification Opportunities in Viticulture-Winemaking Sector of Georgia.**

**3World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, International Journal of Social, Behavioral, Educational, Economic, Business and Industrial Engineering. Vol. 10. Issue 5,**

pp.756-759. 44. Kharashvili, E., Erkomaishvili, G., Chavleishvili,M

**10.(2015). Problems Faced by the Agricultural Sector and Agribusiness Development Strategy in Georgia.**

**3World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, International Journal of Social, Behavioral, Educational, Economic, Business and Industrial Engineering. Vol.9.**

Issue 11, pp.3438-3442.. 45. Силагадзе, А. (2013). Постсоветская экономика: аспекты экономики Грузии. <http://www.georgiamonitor.org/news/254/1287/> 46. Силагадзе, А. (2011).

**1 Экономическое учение Ильи Чавчавадзе и современность- «Актуальные проблемы историко-экономических учений». Москва, «ВЗФЭИ», сс.71 -77.**

47. Силагадзе, А., Атанелишвили, Т. (2010).

**1 Некоторые вопросы экономических доктрин в Грузии. Москва, «Взфэи», 51с.**

48. Силагадзе, А. (2010).

**1 Аспекты экономических доктрин в феодальной Грузии – Историко-экономическая наука в прошлом и настоящем. Москва, «ВЗФЭИ», сс. 221-231.**

49. Силагадзе, А. (1991).

**1 Концепция "социального государства" в работах западногерманских неоконсерваторов и либералов -Эволюция теории и практики «государства благосостояния» в 80-е годы. М., ИНИОН, сс. 141-160.**